

## Update on Grade 3 Promotion Policy Including 2006 Summer Test Results and Students Granted an Appeal in June or August by Region

Region	Total Grade 3 Students Held to New Policy <sup>b</sup>	Students Scoring at Level 1 on One or Both Spring Tests and held to New Policy <sup>c</sup>		Level 1 Students Achieving Level 2 on Summer Tests		Combined Students Granted an Appeal in June or August Based on Classwork			Students Retained in August (Preliminary)			
		N	%	N	% of Students Held to Policy	% of Students at Level 1	N	% of Students Held to Policy	% of Students at Level 1	N	% of Students Held to Policy	% of Students at Level 1
1	5,388	629	11.7%	239	4.4%	38.0%	37	0.7%	5.9%	353	6.6%	56.1%
2	5,705	554	9.7%	186	3.3%	33.6%	64	1.1%	11.6%	304	5.3%	54.9%
3	7,206	366	5.1%	137	1.9%	37.4%	26	0.4%	7.1%	203	2.8%	55.5%
4	6,628	305	4.6%	108	1.6%	35.4%	37	0.6%	12.1%	160	2.4%	52.5%
5	5,675	466	8.2%	139	2.4%	29.8%	37	0.7%	7.9%	290	5.1%	62.2%
6	5,877	559	9.5%	180	3.1%	32.2%	102	1.7%	18.2%	277	4.7%	49.6%
7	7,120	242	3.4%	65	0.9%	26.9%	50	0.7%	20.7%	127	1.8%	52.5%
8	4,836	458	9.5%	163	3.4%	35.6%	22	0.5%	4.8%	273	5.6%	59.6%
9	4,412	323	7.3%	144	3.3%	44.6%	14	0.3%	4.3%	165	3.7%	51.1%
10	3,902	487	12.5%	175	4.5%	35.9%	19	0.5%	3.9%	293	7.5%	60.2%
75 <sup>a</sup>												
<b>Total</b>	<b>56,793</b>	<b>4,389</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>1,536</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>35.0%</b>	<b>408</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>2,445</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>55.7%</b>

Note: Promotion Criterion is Level 2

<sup>a</sup> Students receiving instruction at home/hospital due to illness. Due to the small size of student group, data is suppressed but included in overall totals.

<sup>b</sup> In the 2005-2006 year, the New York State Education Department expanded the ELA and Mathematics testing program to Grades 3-8. However, results from these tests will not be available until the fall. Therefore, in order to make promotion decisions in June, a promotional cut score for NYC students was established for Grade 3 on the NYS ELA and Math tests, both administered this past winter. In conjunction with NYC educators and the NYSED's test publisher, CTB/McGraw-Hill, the NYC Promotion Standard was determined by NYSED and was used to identify students in Grade 3 who did not meet promotion criteria based on the state tests in ELA and/or Mathematics tests.

<sup>c</sup> This number is 848 less than originally reported. Students were removed because they have been discharged from the school system or were special education students subject to modified promotion criteria or were English Language Learners not held to the new promotion criteria.

**Update on Grade 3 Promotion Policy Including 2006 Summer Test Results  
and Students Granted an Appeal in June or August by District**

District	Total Grade 3 Students Held to New Policy <sup>b</sup>	Students Scoring at Level 1 on One or Both Spring Tests and held to New Policy <sup>c</sup>		Level 1 Students Achieving Level 2 on Summer Tests		Combined Students Granted an Appeal in June or August Based on Classwork		Students Retained in August (Preliminary)				
		N	%	N	% of Students Held to Policy	% of Students at Level 1	N	% of Students Held to Policy	% of Students at Level 1	N	% of Students Held to Policy	% of Students at Level 1
1	668	49	7.3%	30	4.5%	61.2%	3	0.4%	6.1%	16	2.4%	32.7%
2	1,773	40	2.3%	21	1.2%	52.5%	3	0.2%	7.5%	16	0.9%	40.0%
3	1,163	117	10.1%	54	4.6%	46.2%	5	0.4%	4.3%	58	5.0%	49.6%
4	881	77	8.7%	28	3.2%	36.4%	0			49	5.6%	63.6%
5	890	172	19.3%	63	7.1%	36.6%	5	0.6%	2.9%	104	11.7%	60.5%
6	1,849	198	10.7%	58	3.1%	29.3%	9	0.5%	4.5%	131	7.1%	66.2%
7	1,090	157	14.4%	65	6.0%	41.4%	8	0.7%	5.1%	84	7.7%	53.5%
8	1,739	150	8.6%	59	3.4%	39.3%	8	0.5%	5.3%	83	4.8%	55.3%
9	2,353	333	14.2%	117	5.0%	35.1%	14	0.6%	4.2%	202	8.6%	60.7%
10	3,035	296	9.8%	122	4.0%	41.2%	23	0.8%	7.8%	151	5.0%	51.0%
11	2,503	205	8.2%	73	2.9%	35.6%	29	1.2%	14.1%	103	4.1%	50.2%
12	1,463	199	13.6%	54	3.7%	27.1%	27	1.8%	13.6%	118	8.1%	59.3%
13	1,077	123	11.4%	49	4.5%	39.8%	1	0.1%	0.8%	73	6.8%	59.3%
14	1,081	92	8.5%	28	2.6%	30.4%	10	0.9%	10.9%	54	5.0%	58.7%
15	1,824	65	3.6%	31	1.7%	47.7%	10	0.5%	15.4%	24	1.3%	36.9%
16	854	178	20.8%	55	6.4%	30.9%	1	0.1%	0.6%	122	14.3%	68.5%
17	1,929	264	13.7%	76	3.9%	28.8%	33	1.7%	12.5%	155	8.0%	58.7%
18	1,623	153	9.4%	58	3.6%	37.9%	39	2.4%	25.5%	56	3.5%	36.6%
19	1,928	193	10.0%	50	2.6%	25.9%	24	1.2%	12.4%	119	6.2%	61.7%
20	2,277	48	2.1%	10	0.4%	20.8%	19	0.8%	39.6%	19	0.8%	39.6%
21	1,505	72	4.8%	23	1.5%	31.9%	10	0.7%	13.9%	39	2.6%	54.2%
22	2,325	142	6.1%	46	2.0%	32.4%	30	1.3%	21.1%	66	2.8%	46.5%
23	977	149	15.3%	43	4.4%	28.9%	13	1.3%	8.7%	93	9.5%	62.4%
24	3,079	63	2.0%	29	0.9%	46.0%	10	0.3%	15.9%	24	0.8%	38.1%
25	1,652	22	1.3%	8	0.5%	36.4%	7	0.4%	31.8%	7	0.4%	31.8%
26	1,318	5	0.4%	1	0.1%	20.0%	1	0.1%	20.0%	3	0.2%	60.0%
27	2,770	124	4.5%	46	1.7%	37.1%	0			78	2.8%	62.9%
28	1,950	98	5.0%	44	2.3%	44.9%	6	0.3%	6.1%	48	2.5%	49.0%
29	2,286	241	10.5%	84	3.7%	34.9%	12	0.5%	5.0%	145	6.3%	60.2%
30	2,276	77	3.4%	23	1.0%	29.9%	6	0.3%	7.8%	48	2.1%	62.3%
31	3,338	122	3.7%	32	1.0%	26.2%	21	0.6%	17.2%	69	2.1%	56.6%
32	1,273	165	13.0%	56	4.4%	33.9%	21	1.6%	12.7%	88	6.9%	53.3%
75 <sup>a</sup>												
Total	56,793	4,389	7.7%	1,536	2.7%	35.0%	408	0.7%	9.3%	2,445	4.3%	55.7%

Note: Promotion Criterion is Level 2

<sup>a</sup> Students receiving instruction at home/hospital due to illness. Due to the small size of student group, data is suppressed but included in overall totals.

<sup>b</sup> In the 2005-2006 year, the New York State Education Department expanded the ELA and Mathematics testing program to Grades 3-8. However, results from these tests will not be available until the fall. Therefore, in order to make promotion decisions in June, a promotional cut score for NYC students was established for Grade 3 on the NYS ELA and Math tests, both administered this past winter. In conjunction with NYC educators and the NYSED's test publisher, CTB/McGraw-Hill, the NYC Promotion Standard was determined by NYSED and was used to identify students in Grade 3 who did not meet promotion criteria based on the state tests in ELA and/or Mathematics tests.

<sup>c</sup> This number is 848 less than originally reported. Students were removed because they have been discharged from the school system or were special education students subject to modified promotion criteria or were English Language Learners not held to the new promotion criteria.